

## Analytical solution of the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equations with conventional damping term

---

The Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equations without the precession term can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \vec{m}}{\partial t} = -\lambda \cdot \vec{m} \times (\vec{m} \times \vec{H}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{m}$  is an unit vector directed along the magnetization  $|\vec{m}| = 1$

Both the precession and the damping are induced by the same magnetic field H, which is directed along the z- axis.

$$\vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ H_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Explicit expressions for vector products are

$$\vec{m} \times \vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} m_y H_z \\ -m_x H_z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{m} \times (\vec{m} \times \vec{H}) = H_z \begin{pmatrix} m_x m_z \\ m_y m_z \\ -(m_x^2 + m_y^2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Substitution Of Eq.(#) into Eq.(1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial m_x}{\partial t} &= -\lambda H_z \cdot m_x m_z \\ \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial t} &= -\lambda H_z \cdot m_y m_z \\ \frac{\partial m_z}{\partial t} &= \lambda H_z \cdot (m_x^2 + m_y^2) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Eqs.(4) can be divided into two systems, the first of which is independent of  $m_x$  and the second of which is independent of  $m_y$ . Taking  $m_y = 0$  in Eqs(4) gives

$$\frac{\partial m_x}{\partial t} = -\lambda H_z \cdot m_x m_z \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial m_z}{\partial t} = \lambda H_z \cdot m_x^2$$

since the magnetization does not change its magnitude

$$|\vec{m}| = 1 \quad (6)$$

The solution of Eqs.(5) can be found as

$$m_z(t) = \cos(\theta(t)) \quad (7)$$

$$m_x(t) = \sin(\theta(t))$$

where the  $\theta$  is magnetization precession angle and is a new independent. Substitution of Eq.(7) into Eqs. (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} &= -\lambda H_z \cdot \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} &= \lambda H_z \cdot (\sin(\theta))^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Two equations of (8) are identical and gives the damping torque as

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\lambda(\theta) \cdot H_z \cdot \sin(\theta)} \quad (9)$$

The integration of Eq.(9) gives the temporal evolution of the precession angle as

$$\boxed{t = -\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{d\theta}{\lambda(\theta) \cdot H_z \cdot \sin(\theta)}} \quad (10)$$

where  $\theta_0$  is the precession angle at time moment  $t=0$ .

Case 1: the damping constant  $\lambda$  and  $H_z$  are independent of the precession angle.

In case when both the  $\lambda$  and  $H_z$  are independent of  $\theta$ , the damping torque can be calculated as

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -T_{\theta=90^\circ} \cdot \sin(\theta)} \quad (20)$$

where  $T_{\theta=90^\circ} = \lambda H_z$  is the damping torque at  $\theta=90$  deg.

Taking into account that

$$\int \frac{d\theta}{\sin(\theta)} = \log\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) \quad (21)$$

The temporal evolution is

$$\log\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) - \log\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)\right) = -\lambda H_z t \quad (22)$$

or

$$\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) = e^{-\lambda H_z t} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \quad (23)$$

---

Case 2  $\lambda$  is independent of the precession angle. Internal field depends on the magnetization angle.

The total magnetic field  $H$  consists of the external magnetic field and the internal magnetic field, which is proportional to the  $z$ -component of the magnetization. When the precession angle increase and the magnetization is tilted from  $z$ -direction, the  $z$ -component of the magnetization and correspondently the  $z$ -component of the internal magnetic field decreases.

In this case, the  $z$ - component  $H_z$  of the total magnetic field depends on the precession angle as:

$$H_z = H_{ext,z} + H_M \cdot \cos(\theta) \quad (30)$$

where  $H_{ext,z}$  is the external magnetic field,  $H_M$  is the internal magnetic field, which is proportional to the  $z$ -component of the magnetization.